



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/750,312

12/30/2003

Jessica R. DesNoyer

050623.00313

1694

45159

7590

06/09/2011

SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY (US) LLP  
275 BATTERY STREET, SUITE 2600  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3356

EXAMINER

CAPOZZI, CHARLES

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1717

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/09/2011

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/750,312	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DESNOYER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> CHARLES CAPOZZI	<b>Art Unit</b> 1717	

**All Participants:**

 (1) CHARLES CAPOZZI.

 (2) James Reed.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 June 2011
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Claims 9 and 24*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Sirhan et al (USP 7,083,642), col. 15, lines 8-28, Figure 7B*
**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Charles J. Capozzi/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1717

/Dah-Wei D. Yuan/  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1717

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed amendments to Claims 9 and 24 to overcome Sirhan et al. The examiner suggested incorporating the features of Claim 11 into Claim 9 to overcome Sirhan et al. The attorney notified the examiner that applicants intend to file a supplemental amendment for Claims 9 and 24.